

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,861		07/15/2003	Chris Petrick	6607-87245	7732	
24628	7590	06/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WELSH	& KATZ,	LTD	CHEN,	CHEN, JOSE V		
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3637		
Omeric	<b></b>			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/619,861	PETRICK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	José V. Chen	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ma</u>	arch 2006						
	action is non-final.						
7	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
· - · · · - · · · · - · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-44</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 45-48</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/04/04</u> .	6) Other:	•					

## Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Figs 1-9, claims 1-35, 45-48 in the reply filed on 03/06/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the embodiments are so similarly related. This is not found persuasive because this is not a proper response as stated in the last Office Action.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vander Park. The patent to Vander Park teaches structure as claimed including a first structure (fig. 2), second structure in which a power or communications means is brought into and accepted by the other structure.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,861

Art Unit: 3637

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5-35, 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vander Park. The patent to Vander Park teaches structure substantially as claimed, as discussed above including communications between two structures and connectable between multiple structures the only difference being the specific communications between the structures not being particularly a data means. However, the use of different alternative conventional communications between different structures are matters of desirability and choice depending upon the parameters needed and would have been and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art, thereby providing structure as claimed. The method would have been obvious in view of the structures.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to King, Favaretto et al, Ryburg et al, Chang, Corpuz, Jr. et al, Rein et al, Funk et al, Gioacchini et al, Dame et al, Ostertag et al, Cronk et al, Keusch et al, Weissenbach et al, Mollenkopf et al, Casey et al, Sykes teach structure similar to applicant's.

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

José ∜. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 05-23-06

Application/Control Number: 10/619,861

Page 5

Art Unit: 3637